



PHILOSOPHY TO EVIDENCE: ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND THEORY CONSTRUCTION IN NURSING



Miaofen Yen, PhD, RN, FAAN; Esther Ching-Lan Lin, PhD, RN

Department of Nursing, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University

Teaching Challenge



First year of doctoral nursing students often struggle to translate abstract philosophy and theory into concrete research decisions, including:

- how to build a theoretical framework
- interpret and integrate theories
- justify why a study needs a specific theory

Course Aim and Learning Outcomes

Knowledge Development in Nursing (KDN) course prepares first-year doctoral students to connect

Philosophy → Theory → Evidence → Scholarly writing

By the end of the course, students can:

- Demonstrate strengthened philosophical and theoretical thinking in nursing
- Explicitly link philosophy, theory, and evidence to support research justification
- Develop competence in scoping review methodology and manuscript-level academic writing

Course Content Map

KDN provides a coherent knowledge-development journey, moving from foundations to application and writing.

A. Foundations of Nursing Knowledge

- Philosophy of science and why it matters for nursing knowledge development.
- Nursing as a discipline: epistemology and ontology (what counts as knowledge; what exists and can be known).
- Nursing's theoretical heritage and how theories evolve and are used.

B. Tools for Knowledge Development

- Concept analysis using Walker & Avant + critical appraisal of methods.
- Scoping review as an evidence-mapping tool with structured critique and application.

C. Scholarly Identity and Doctoral Thinking in EMI

- Reflection on the ICN revised definition of nursing: positioning nursing knowledge across research, education, and practice.
- "Journey of a nursing scholar" learning experiences to connect theory and the real world scholarship.

D. Writing Pathway

- Stepwise scoping review milestones and iterative manuscript development toward publication readiness.

Evidence of Impact

(Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Model)

- **Level 1 (Reaction):** High ratings (mostly 4.5–5.0/5); SR steps + AI lecture 4.9/5; SR Steps 1–3 applicability 5.0/5.
- **Level 2 (Learning):** Stronger nursing identity + theory–research linkage; improved scoping review skills, critical thinking, and academic English.
- **Level 3 (Behavior):** Students transfer skills to doctoral work—build theory-informed questions, synthesize evidence, argue academically, and revise through feedback cycles.
- **Level 4 Results:** 12 peer-reviewed scoping review publications + ongoing submissions.

Strengths and Challenges

Strengths

- A clear, structured pathway from philosophy to publishable evidence.
- Strong integration of teaching and authentic research practice.
- EMI environment that supports doctoral academic communication growth.

Challenges

- High cognitive demand of philosophical content.
- Mixed English proficiency in an international cohort.
- Time-intensive mentoring and manuscript development workload.

Teaching Design

A Visible Learning Pathway (Core Uniqueness)

Philosophy → Theory → Evidence → Writing is taught as an explicit, repeatable framework. Students don't just "learn theory," they learn how to use it.

Performance-Based, Active Learning (Not Passive Listening)

Teaching strategies are intentionally designed to make abstract ideas learnable:

- Interactive lectures that emphasize structure, logic, and conceptual boundaries
- Critical reading + seminar-style discussion to develop analysis and synthesis
- Concept analysis practice (Walker & Avant)
- Scoping review training aligned with established approaches (e.g., Arksey & O'Malley / JBI)

Research-Integrated Learning (Authentic Doctoral Output)

Students work with topics aligned to their doctoral interests and repeatedly present, receive feedback, and revise, mirroring real scholarly practice.

AI Literacy as a Contemporary Scholarly Skill

A guest lecture supports students' development of scoping review work using AI-informed prompting (responsibly and academically).

What Happens in the Classroom

Repeatable learning cycle used across major units:

1. Concept anchor lecture
2. Guided reading (theory/method exemplars)
3. Structured discussion (critique + synthesis)
4. Production task (scoping review output)
5. Peer + instructor feedback
6. Revision submission

Publication records



Students' Voice



"KDN demonstrates EMI teaching excellence by transforming abstract philosophy and theory into doctoral-level performance—critical thinking, academic English communication, and publication-ready scholarship."